

BATTLE IS NOW ON

Senate in Continuous Session on Silver Repeal Bill.

SICK SENATORS WHEELED IN

Senator Voorhees Refused to Allow an Adjournment and the Night Was Spent in Talking.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 11.—There was apparently no larger attendance of senators in the morning than there has been any day for several weeks past, notwithstanding the official announcement that the final struggle over the silver purchase repeal bill would begin today. After routine business the silver purchase repeal bill was taken up, and Mr. Cockrell resumed the floor and proceeded with his speech against the bill.

Mr. Smith of New Jersey, obtaining the floor, delivered a sarcastic criticism of the senate debate on the bill. Mr. Lacey followed with a speech against the repeal bill.

Mr. Cockrell then resumed the floor and went on with his speech, and finished at 5:15 with sarcastic allusions to President Cleveland, to whom he commented the grand old presidential humor: "God moves in a mysterious way, his wonders to perform; He plants His footsteps in the sea and rides upon the storm."

Mr. Allen took the floor at 5:15 and continued a speech which he had begun last week in opposition to the bill.

Mr. Allen was still occupying the floor when, at 6:15 p. m., Mr. Lacey suggested that it was past the hour when the senator from Indiana (Mr. Voorhees) usually made a motion to adjourn and asked whether he desired to submit that motion this evening.

"I feel it my highest duty," said Mr. Voorhees, "not to make that motion this evening, but to continue to speak the words which I have said in previous sessions until the pending measure is disposed of."

During this proceeding the seats of senators were being filled by accessions from committee rooms and cloak rooms—event, Mr. Calkins, leaving wheeled in his seat in his invalid chair. After Mr. Voorhees' response to the challenge from the senator from Idaho, Mr. Dubois arose and said: "This legislation should not be rushed through by inhuman efforts and brute force. There is no argument in this which will satisfy senators or the country. You know as well before you start in, as you will when you emerge, that you must fail. Conditions such as exist in the senate preclude all hope of rational legislation. The responsibility for what is to follow must rest with you as well as with the physical effort upon individual senators."

Voorhees Responds.

Mr. Dubois' speech was delivered with much earnestness and was listened to in perfect silence. When it had come to a close, Mr. Voorhees arose and said, speaking with much feeling: "Mr. President, the great respect which I bear to the senator from Idaho and the affection which I had borne to his father, preclude me from any feeling of resentment toward the somewhat dictatorial tone which he has taken into. As he grows into the ranks of those whom he commiserates with a little more, he will not assume so much of a superior tone, and I will be glad to have disposed of it at an earlier period than now, but I have no rules under which I could do so."

A Test of Endurance.

"And we have to present the spectacle here (whether we are young men or old men) of testing our physical endurance. This episode of our history will result in reforming the rules of this body. I am ready at the proper time—not in a heated struggle—not the present to vote for a measure by which a determination can be reached. Otherwise this body will lose its proper influence before the American people and the world. I believe that this body should have rules by which to conduct a discussion and reach a vote—rules by which a vote is more dependent than the rule on which we are entering now. I stand here asking the opponents of this bill whether they will come any day for a vote, and they will not. They want to see this body in a position to prevent any action being taken at all. When is this to quit? When is it to stop? I would rather be carried from this hall, feet foremost, and laid to sleep forever in my home in Terre Haute than yield the right of the majority to govern."

Mr. Harris followed Mr. Voorhees and made a motion to adjourn, but it was not seconded.

Mr. Butler and Mr. Dingley spoke. Mr. Allen then took the floor and at 1 a. m. was still talking.

At 2:30 a. m. Allen still held the floor, having spoken over nine hours.

GEARY WAXED WARM.

Administration Charged With Not Enforcing the Laws.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 11.—In the house today Mr. Henry Geary introduced a resolution of a joint resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

Mr. Cummings from the committee on naval affairs reported a resolution calling on the secretary of the navy for information as to the amount of premiums paid to the constructors of warships.

Adopted.

In the consideration of the morning bill to remit penalties on the dynamite revenue, Mr. Geary introduced a resolution providing for a review of progress from October 14 to November 1. Mr. Outwater objected and the resolution was referred to the committee on rules.

ON A SERIOUS CRIME

Frank Parish Charged With Dealing in Postal Notes.

IMPLICATED IN FORD ROBBERY

Arrested by United States Marshal. A Scheme by Which He Worked the Government.

PORT HURON, Mich., Oct. 11.—Deputy United States Marshal Pettit arrested Frank Parish, said to be implicated in the Ford mail robbery, and took him to Detroit this morning. Miss Ollie Mable, of the postoffice department, who is believed to have been one of Ford's accomplices, for three years Parish has been cashing postal notes, sometimes half a dozen at a time. Miss Mable noticed one man particularly, because his breath smelled of liquor. One day Parish presented a postal note. It was discovered that it did not bear the signature of the postmaster at St. Clair, but that of the postmaster at Port Huron. Parish was sent to St. Clair for an endorsement, and in returning the St. Clair postmaster said he was puzzled to know why it was cashed in Port Huron, as it was made out for New York. Inspector Larnor, who was made acquainted with these facts, and coming here, examined the postal register, which was then in use, containing the name of every person to whom a postal note was paid. The register contained Parish's name many times, and the towns from which the notes came were all west of here, proving that the mail must have come in at night. This is the mail on which Ford is alleged to have operated. Fred Ford of Port Huron, with whom Parish is said to have been associated, was arrested in June for the robbery of the mail at St. Clair, and was sentenced to the penitentiary for two years. The amount of the alleged robbery was placed at \$20,000 and upwards.

LISTENED TO CZAR REED.

Cincinnati Turned Out En Masse to Hear the Ex-Speaker.

CINCINNATI, Oct. 11.—The republicans of Cincinnati turned out en masse tonight to hear ex-Speaker Thomas E. Reed of Maine speak at Music hall upon the virtues of protection and tariff. The audience, which was not only filled to overflowing, but the sidewalk in front of it was a complete mass of struggling humanity seeking an entrance. Viewed from the stage, the audience seemed to have been made up of about one-third ladies, who at times participated in the enthusiastic outbursts of their escorts. Mr. Reed was introduced by Chairman Levi C. Goodale and in his characteristic manner delivered an address that fairly sparked with sarcasm and wit. Mr. Reed said that since the election last fall we have had what Mr. Cleveland has been pleased to call an "object lesson." Mills have closed down; banks have failed and wages have been lowered. No one now cares what a tin snail costs; they want to fill it and eat it across the country to their workshops.

Mr. Reed criticized the course now being taken by the United States senate. They had now been in extraordinary session over two months; they had been called together to repeal the "cowardly makeshift" the silver purchasing clause of the Sherman law, but the "cowardly makeshift" still remained upon the statute books. He concluded by complimenting the people of the United States for bringing to a successful end the great fair at Chicago.

INTEREST ON BONDS.

Statement Sent to the Senate by Secretary Carlisle.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 11.—Secretary Carlisle today sent to the senate a response to the Allen resolutions asking under what circumstances the treasury department had interpreted the interest on bonds since 1890. The secretary says the authority for the advance payment of interest is found in the act of May 15, 1892. With two exceptions under this act the disbursements were made without deduction of expenses on bonds.

Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls.

DISCOVERED A FLAW.

Tucker Repeal Bill Imperfect and Another Introduced.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 11.—Representative Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

ON A SERIOUS CRIME

Frank Parish Charged With Dealing in Postal Notes.

IMPLICATED IN FORD ROBBERY

Arrested by United States Marshal. A Scheme by Which He Worked the Government.

PORT HURON, Mich., Oct. 11.—Deputy United States Marshal Pettit arrested Frank Parish, said to be implicated in the Ford mail robbery, and took him to Detroit this morning. Miss Ollie Mable, of the postoffice department, who is believed to have been one of Ford's accomplices, for three years Parish has been cashing postal notes, sometimes half a dozen at a time. Miss Mable noticed one man particularly, because his breath smelled of liquor. One day Parish presented a postal note. It was discovered that it did not bear the signature of the postmaster at St. Clair, but that of the postmaster at Port Huron. Parish was sent to St. Clair for an endorsement, and in returning the St. Clair postmaster said he was puzzled to know why it was cashed in Port Huron, as it was made out for New York. Inspector Larnor, who was made acquainted with these facts, and coming here, examined the postal register, which was then in use, containing the name of every person to whom a postal note was paid. The register contained Parish's name many times, and the towns from which the notes came were all west of here, proving that the mail must have come in at night. This is the mail on which Ford is alleged to have operated. Fred Ford of Port Huron, with whom Parish is said to have been associated, was arrested in June for the robbery of the mail at St. Clair, and was sentenced to the penitentiary for two years. The amount of the alleged robbery was placed at \$20,000 and upwards.

LISTENED TO CZAR REED.

Cincinnati Turned Out En Masse to Hear the Ex-Speaker.

CINCINNATI, Oct. 11.—The republicans of Cincinnati turned out en masse tonight to hear ex-Speaker Thomas E. Reed of Maine speak at Music hall upon the virtues of protection and tariff. The audience, which was not only filled to overflowing, but the sidewalk in front of it was a complete mass of struggling humanity seeking an entrance. Viewed from the stage, the audience seemed to have been made up of about one-third ladies, who at times participated in the enthusiastic outbursts of their escorts. Mr. Reed was introduced by Chairman Levi C. Goodale and in his characteristic manner delivered an address that fairly sparked with sarcasm and wit. Mr. Reed said that since the election last fall we have had what Mr. Cleveland has been pleased to call an "object lesson." Mills have closed down; banks have failed and wages have been lowered. No one now cares what a tin snail costs; they want to fill it and eat it across the country to their workshops.

Mr. Reed criticized the course now being taken by the United States senate. They had now been in extraordinary session over two months; they had been called together to repeal the "cowardly makeshift" the silver purchasing clause of the Sherman law, but the "cowardly makeshift" still remained upon the statute books. He concluded by complimenting the people of the United States for bringing to a successful end the great fair at Chicago.

INTEREST ON BONDS.

Statement Sent to the Senate by Secretary Carlisle.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 11.—Secretary Carlisle today sent to the senate a response to the Allen resolutions asking under what circumstances the treasury department had interpreted the interest on bonds since 1890. The secretary says the authority for the advance payment of interest is found in the act of May 15, 1892. With two exceptions under this act the disbursements were made without deduction of expenses on bonds.

Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls.

DISCOVERED A FLAW.

Tucker Repeal Bill Imperfect and Another Introduced.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 11.—Representative Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in the federal election laws left exposed by the Tucker bill, which the house passed yesterday. It proposes to amend section 208, revised statutes, which strikes out the following words: "Unless such force be necessary to repel armed enemies of the United States or to keep the peace at the polls."

Anderson introduced a bill to favor the one point in